



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,840	09/05/2003	William A. Moffatt	1008-US	8406
7590 MICHAEL A. GUTH 2-2905 EAST CLIFF DR. SANTA CRUZ, CA 95062				
03/03/2008				
EXAMINER				
STOUTER, KELLY M				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1792				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/03/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/656,840

Applicant(s)

MOFFATT ET AL.

Examiner

KELLY STOUFFER

Art Unit

1792

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KELLY STOUFFER.(3) William Moffatt.(2) Michael Guth.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 February 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Loan et al. (US 6136725).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The dehydration step of claim 20 and similar claims was primarily discussed in regards to Loan. The applicant argued that after thorough review of Loan, the language of the cited column in the rejection indicates that a vaporization chamber, not a chamber that holds a substrate, is undergoing purging and evacuating steps. The examiner agreed to consider the arguments in a formal response to the non-final office action.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Timothy Weeks/

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required